



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT DF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: CDMMISSIONER DF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 60831/101 GRAWE 07/17/92 n7/914,386 SPRINGER EXAMINER 12M2/0708 FOLEY & LARDNER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 500 3000 K. ST., N.W. 1201 WASH.,D.C. 20007-5109 DATE MAILED: 07/08/93 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on ____ _____ This ection is mede final. month(s), _____days from the dete of this letter. A shortened stetutory period for rasponse to this ection is set to expire_ Fellure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become ebandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Exeminer, PTD-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. \square Notice of informel Petent Application, Form PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. **SUMMARY OF ACTION** Part II 1. X Cleims 2. Cleims 3. Cleims ere subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. 🔲 This application has been filed with informel drewings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which ere eccepteble for examination purposes. 6. Formel drewings ere required in response to this Office ection. 9.

The corrected or substitute drewings heve been received on ____ are \square eccepteble. \square not eccepteble (see explanation or Notice re Petent Drewing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed edditionel or substitute sheet(s) of drewings, filed on _______has (heve) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). _____, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation). 11.

The proposed drewing correction, filed on ____ 12. Acknowledgment is mede of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has \Box been received \Box not been received been filed in perent application, serial no. ___ ; filed on . 13. 🔲 Since this application appears to be in condition for ellowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in eccordance with the prectice under Ex perte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

FILT

Serial No. 07/914,386

Art Unit 1201

Claims 1-35 are pending.

Claims 1—35 are rejected under 35 USC 112, paragraph one, as having inadequate enablement as to how to (1) use and (2) make (1) use of solid state matrix embracing the Markush groups of claims 15-17 or the generic term solid state matrix has not been shown with sufficient teaching and enablement to provide reasonable assurance that the markush anything within the definition thereof possess the utility urged (2) sources of solid state matrix and its starting materials has not been shown with the particularity required by the statute. Howarth 210 USPQ 689 and Ex Parte Moersch 104 USPQ 122.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 USC 112, paragraph (as indefinite in the terms; (a) "a lead contaminant" only lead oxide and lead halides have been shown to be so removed, (b) "surface comprising" is indefinite since the surface must have adsorbed or absorbed the lead oxides or halide contaminant, and comprising essentially included solid lead oxide thus including substances and compounds and removal thereof that are undescribed, (c) "allowing composition to solidity" consists of minutes or days and is this indefinite in duration and (d) functional for solidification time, (e) "contaminant defecting compound" is indefinite as it fails to to set metes and bounds as to what is and what is not operable, but leaves this for others to so determined by subsequent undue experimentation, the others.

Serial No. 07/914,386

Art Unit 1201

similarly (f) " liquid state composition" and "solid state matrix" derived there from are similarly without metes and bounds.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

unpatentable over Japanese patents JP 59189200 and JP

8426666 (840215) provided by applicant which teach applying a

Substitute that is

polymeric sustate drayable or chrable containing lead contaminant, with

Substitute Containing

color indicating agents and basic inorganic (MH3NaOH) chemical

therein capable of reacting with the lead contaminant then

subsequently peeling off or removing the lead contaminant

containing polymer matrix. No patentable distinction over

these teachings such or in combination is seen.

Serial No. 07/914,386

Art Unit 1201

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Springer at telephone number (703) 308-1235.

Springer: lb June 14, 1993 David B. Struga David B. STRINGER PHINARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1210 \